

Name _____

EE573 or EE468 468 Seat # 56

96/90

High

Exam #1
R. Eigenmann
Fall 2001

***** FORM A ***** FORM A ***** FORM A ***** FORM A *****

Circle all answers that apply, some questions may have multiple answers.
If you think a question is ambiguous, state your assumptions.
Advanced questions are bonus questions for EE468 students.

1. From the syllabus, the following is considered cheating:

- a) copying from a neighbor during an exam
- b) copying a program from somebody else (not in my group) for my project
- c) giving my project to a class mate so she can learn what I did
- d) inadequately protecting my files so somebody else can copy from me.
- e) using (part of) a project that I happened to find on some computer

2. The following are tasks of a compiler

- a) translate a source program into assembly code
- b) translate assembly code into machine code
- c- execute a program
- d) translate source code into modified source code
- e- interpret a program

3. Compiler passes do the following:

- a) scanners tokenize the input character stream
- b) parsers translate a grammar into syntactic constructs
- c- semantic routines read the scanned tokens and generate 3-address code
- d) optimizers passes improve the code by some measure
- e) code generators issue assembly code

4. Automatic generation of compiler passes:

- a) scanners can be generated with automatic tools
- b) parsers can be generated automatically from grammar specifications
- c- semantic routines are difficult to automate because grammars cannot be specified precisely
- d) automating the generation of optimizers is still a research topic
- e- code generators can be created automatically from the precise specifications of machine instructions

5. Internal representation:

- a- the fewer compiler passes there are, the more internal representations are needed
- b- the syntax tree representation is typically generated by the parser
- c- 3-address code is a more architecture-independent representation than assembly code
- d- compilers have exactly three internal representations: tokens, syntax tree, and 3-address code
- e- the term "internal representation" means all data structures used by a compiler.

6. Syntax and semantics: Consider the phrase FOR i=1 TO N DO STATEMENTS

- a- the syntax of this phrase has six tokens
- b- the semantics describes the effect that this phrase has
- c- the syntax describes the grouping of characters into tokens
- d- the semantics can be derived from a well-defined syntax
- e- the context-free grammar may specify that N must be previously declared

7. Compilers, languages, architectures:

- a- advances in computer architectures necessitate advances in programming languages
- b- advances in computer architectures necessitate advances in compiler technology
- c- the rise of high-level languages has simplified compiler design
- d- better defined languages tend to lead to smaller grammar specifications
- e- even if the ISA of a processor is the same, a new processor may lead to changes in its compiler, because code generation alternatives may now perform differently.

8. MICRO compiler:

- a- EE468EXAM1 would be a correct identifier for MICRO
- b- 573.468 would be a correct literal for MICRO
- c- the MICRO parser can always tell which of the three alternatives of the production for "statement" to choose by looking at the next token
- d- in MICRO, operator precedence is in the order "PLUSOP" then "MINUSOP"
- e- an empty statement (two semicolons in a row) is legal syntax in MICRO

9. Recursive descent parsing:

- a- every terminal symbol has an associated parse procedure
- b- productions that contain alternatives lead to parse procedures with some form of conditional execution
- c- if the parse procedure cannot determine which production to take next by looking at the next token, then the current production is empty.
- d- the parse procedure of the production $L ::= A \mid B$, where A and B are terminals, may look like this: procedure L() {if (Lookahead(A)) match(A) else match(B) }
- e- the above procedure is wrong because we also have to lookahead for B

→ Not "Semantic processing in Micro"

10. Semantic processing:

- (a) semantic annotations are grammar extensions that cause the parser to call semantic action procedures.
- b- semantic records are data structures through which semantic action procedures communicate with each other
- (c) not every production needs to have a semantic annotation
- d- semantic records may contain information about parsed symbols as well as results of code generation actions.
- (e) designing and implementing action procedures is one of the most time-consuming tasks of a compiler design.

for Micro this is not true

11. Scanners and finite automata:

- (a) a scanner can be implemented using finite automata
- b- all finite automata can be expressed through transition tables
- (c) an error state in a transition table corresponds to an incorrect program input
- (d) regular expressions can be translated automatically into DFAs
- (e) the two dimensions of a transition table are the states of the DFA and the range of legal program input characters

12. Value of tokens:

- (a) scanners must recognize legal tokens AND their values
- b- all tokens have a value
- c- the value of an identifier is its length
- (d) transducers are helpful in deriving the value of a token
- (e) filter functions in Lex help identify the token value

13. BNF and Grammar:

- (a) Extended BNF can be translated into standard BNF
- (b) Extended BNF is more concise
- (c) in standard BNF a Nonterminal can have several productions
- (d) Given a Nonterminal and a lookahead token, Parsers for LL(1) grammars can determine which production to take by looking at the First Sets.
- e- the following production may be part on an LL(1) grammar: $Expr ::= Expr ExprTail$

No, this is left-recursive

14. Parsing:

- (a) the parse table indicates which production to take, given a Nonterminal and a lookahead character
- (b) Recursive descent parsers can be generated automatically from parse tables.
- (c) Parse tables can be interpreted directly by a stack-based parser driver
- d- Maybe (c) is true, but semantic actions cannot be called by such a driver
- e- All grammars can be turned into LL(1) but they may get very large

ADVANCED QUESTIONS:

(Bonus for EE468 students)

15. The following non-LL(1) grammars elements can be turned into LL(1):

- a- productions with a common prefix
- b- left recursions
- c- the "if-then-else" problem
- d- all LL(k) grammars
- e- all grammars than can be parsed with LR parsers

16. Is the following grammar LL(1)?

P ::= A B C D E F

A ::= a

B ::= b

C ::= c

D ::= d

E ::= e

F ::= f

- a- yes, because you need to look at the first sets to decide which production to take.
- b- no, because the firsts sets are irrelevant in this grammar
- c- yes, the productions can be decided without looking at the first sets
- d- no, because you need to look ahead by five tokens
- e- this is an illegal grammar

17. Sequence of compiler passes:

- a- several passes can be combined into one
- b- it is possible to create one-pass compilers for all major programming languages
- c- the order of compiler passes must always be fixed
- d- if a compiler contains several optimization passes, their relative order may sometimes be reversed
- e- assembly code generation is the last pass of any compiler